

## Quantum mechanical derivation of the Wallis formula for $\pi$

Tamar Friedmann and C. R. Hagen

Citation: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **56**, 112101 (2015); doi: 10.1063/1.4930800

View online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4930800>

View Table of Contents: <http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jmp/56/11?ver=pdfcov>

Published by the [AIP Publishing](#)

---

### Articles you may be interested in

[Properties of the Katugampola fractional derivative with potential application in quantum mechanics](#)  
*J. Math. Phys.* **56**, 063502 (2015); 10.1063/1.4922018


[A closed formula for the barrier transmission coefficient in quaternionic quantum mechanics](#)  
*J. Math. Phys.* **51**, 113504 (2010); 10.1063/1.3504165

[How to Derive the Hilbert-Space Formulation of Quantum Mechanics From Purely Operational Axioms](#)  
*AIP Conf. Proc.* **844**, 101 (2006); 10.1063/1.2219356

[Simple minimum principle to derive a quantum-mechanical/ molecular-mechanical method](#)  
*J. Chem. Phys.* **121**, 3964 (2004); 10.1063/1.1772354

[Total time derivatives of operators in elementary quantum mechanics](#)  
*Am. J. Phys.* **71**, 326 (2003); 10.1119/1.1531579

---



## Quantum mechanical derivation of the Wallis formula for $\pi$

Tamar Friedmann<sup>1,a)</sup> and C. R. Hagen<sup>2,b)</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mathematics and Department of Physics and Astronomy,  
University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Rochester,  
Rochester, New York 14627, USA*

(Received 13 August 2015; accepted 14 August 2015; published online 10 November 2015)

A famous pre-Newtonian formula for  $\pi$  is obtained directly from the variational approach to the spectrum of the hydrogen atom in spaces of arbitrary dimensions greater than one, including the physical three dimensions.

© 2015 AIP Publishing LLC. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4930800>]

The formula for  $\pi$  as the infinite product

$$\frac{\pi}{2} = \frac{2 \cdot 2}{1 \cdot 3} \frac{4 \cdot 4}{3 \cdot 5} \frac{6 \cdot 6}{5 \cdot 7} \cdots \quad (1)$$

was derived by Wallis in 1655<sup>1</sup> (see also Ref. 2) by a method of successive interpolations. While several mathematical proofs of this formula have been put forth in the past (many just in the last decade) using probability,<sup>3</sup> combinatorics and probability,<sup>4</sup> geometric means,<sup>5</sup> trigonometry,<sup>6,7</sup> and trigonometric integrals,<sup>8</sup> there has not been in the literature a derivation of Eq. (1) that originates in physics, specifically in quantum mechanics.

It is the purpose of this paper to show that this formula can in fact be derived from a variational computation of the spectrum of the hydrogen atom. The existence of such a derivation indicates that there are striking connections between well-established physics and pure mathematics<sup>9</sup> that are remarkably beautiful yet still to be discovered.

The Schrödinger equation for the hydrogen atom is given by

$$H\psi = \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 - \frac{e^2}{r} \right) \psi = E\psi,$$

with the corresponding radial equation obtained by separation of variables being

$$H(r)R(r) = \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left( \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} \right) - \frac{e^2}{r} \right] R(r) = ER(r).$$

Using the trial wave function

$$\psi_{\alpha\ell m} = r^\ell e^{-\alpha r^2} Y_\ell^m(\theta, \phi), \quad (2)$$

where  $\alpha > 0$  is a real parameter and the  $Y_\ell^m(\theta, \phi)$  are the usual spherical harmonics, the expectation value of the Hamiltonian is found to be given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H \rangle_{\alpha\ell} &\equiv \frac{\langle \psi_{\alpha\ell m} | H(r) | \psi_{\alpha\ell m} \rangle}{\langle \psi_{\alpha\ell m} | \psi_{\alpha\ell m} \rangle} \\ &= \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left( \ell + \frac{3}{2} \right) 2\alpha - e^2 \frac{\Gamma(\ell+1)}{\Gamma(\ell + \frac{3}{2})} \sqrt{2\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of the  $Y_\ell^m(\theta, \phi)$  in Eq. (2), the function  $\psi_{\alpha\ell m}$  is orthogonal to any energy and angular momentum eigenstate that has a value for angular momentum different from  $\ell$ . Therefore

<sup>a)</sup>Email address: [tfriedma@ur.rochester.edu](mailto:tfriedma@ur.rochester.edu)

<sup>b)</sup>Email address: [hagen@pas.rochester.edu](mailto:hagen@pas.rochester.edu)

the minimization of  $\langle H \rangle_\alpha$  with respect to  $\alpha$ , namely

$$\langle H \rangle_{min}^\ell = -\frac{me^4}{2\hbar^2} \frac{1}{(\ell + \frac{3}{2})} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(\ell + 1)}{\Gamma(\ell + \frac{3}{2})} \right]^2, \tag{3}$$

gives an upper bound for the lowest energy state with the given value of  $\ell$ .

The well-known exact result for the energy levels of hydrogen is

$$E_{n_r, \ell} = -\frac{me^4}{2\hbar^2} \frac{1}{(n_r + \ell + 1)^2},$$

where  $n_r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , so that the lowest energy eigenstate for a given  $\ell$  is the one with  $n_r = 0$ , namely

$$E_{0, \ell} = -\frac{me^4}{2\hbar^2} \frac{1}{(\ell + 1)^2}.$$

The accuracy of approximation (3) is thus displayed in the ratio

$$\frac{\langle H \rangle_{min}^\ell}{E_{0, \ell}} = \frac{(\ell + 1)^2}{(\ell + \frac{3}{2})} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(\ell + 1)}{\Gamma(\ell + \frac{3}{2})} \right]^2,$$

a quantity that approaches unity with increasing  $\ell$ .<sup>10</sup> This follows from the fact that in the large  $\ell$  limit the trial solution and the exact result correspond to strictly circular orbits. The circularity of the trial solution orbits at large  $\ell$  is a consequence of the fact that the uncertainty in  $r^2$ , measured in units of mean square radius, is given by

$$\frac{[\langle r^4 \rangle_{\alpha\ell} - (\langle r^2 \rangle_{\alpha\ell})^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\langle r^2 \rangle_{\alpha\ell}} = \left( \ell + \frac{3}{2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

which approaches 0 at large  $\ell$ . Both the trial solution orbits and the exact orbits are then identical to those of the Bohr model in the large  $\ell$  limit, as expected from Bohr's correspondence principle.

Therefore one obtains the limit

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle H \rangle_{min}^\ell}{E_{0, \ell}} = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\ell + 1)^2}{(\ell + \frac{3}{2})} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(\ell + 1)}{\Gamma(\ell + \frac{3}{2})} \right]^2 = 1. \tag{4}$$

This can be seen to lead to the Wallis formula for  $\pi$ . To this end, one invokes the relations  $z\Gamma(z) = \Gamma(z + 1)$ ,  $\Gamma(\ell + 1) = \ell!$ , and  $\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\pi}$ , which bring Eq. (4) to the form

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{(\ell + 1)!}{\sqrt{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{5}{2} \dots \frac{2\ell+1}{2}} \right]^2 \frac{1}{\ell + \frac{3}{2}} = 1$$

or alternatively,

$$\frac{\pi}{2} = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell+1} \frac{(2j)(2j)}{(2j-1)(2j+1)}, \tag{5}$$

i.e., the Wallis formula for  $\pi$ , as given by Eq. (1).

The analogous computation in arbitrary dimensions also leads to the same formula, with slightly different forms for even and odd dimensions. The radial equation for the hydrogen atom in  $N$  dimensions is<sup>11</sup>

$$H_N R = \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left( \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{N-1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{\ell(\ell + N - 2)}{r^2} \right) - \frac{e^2}{r} \right] R(r) = ER(r),$$

where  $\hbar^2\ell(\ell + N - 2)$ ,  $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  is the spectrum of the square of the angular momentum operator in  $N$  dimensions.<sup>12,13</sup> The same trial wave function as in three dimensions with the  $Y_\ell^m(\theta, \phi)$  of Eq. (2) replaced by its  $N$ -dimensional analog<sup>11,12</sup> gives

$$\langle H \rangle_{min}^{N, \ell} = -\frac{me^4}{2\hbar^2} \frac{1}{(\ell + \frac{N}{2})} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(\ell + \frac{N-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\ell + \frac{N}{2})} \right]^2. \tag{6}$$

The exact result<sup>11</sup>

$$E_{n_r, \ell}^N = -\frac{me^4}{2\hbar^2} \frac{1}{(n_r + \ell + \frac{N-1}{2})^2}$$

in the limit  $\ell \rightarrow \infty$  with  $n_r = 0$  yields

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle H \rangle_{min}^{N, \ell}}{E_{0, \ell}^N} = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\ell + \frac{N-1}{2})^2}{(\ell + \frac{N}{2})} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(\ell + \frac{N-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\ell + \frac{N}{2})} \right]^2 = 1. \quad (7)$$

For  $N = 2k + 1$ , where  $k$  is a positive integer (i.e., the case of odd dimensions), this becomes

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\ell + k)^2}{(\ell + k + \frac{1}{2})} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(\ell + k)}{\Gamma(\ell + k + \frac{1}{2})} \right]^2 = 1$$

which is identical to Eq. (4) once the substitution  $\ell \rightarrow \ell + k - 1$  is made there, leading again to the Wallis formula. For  $N = 2k$ , where  $k$  is again a positive integer (i.e., the case of even dimensions), Eq. (7) becomes

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\ell + k - \frac{1}{2})^2}{(\ell + k)} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(\ell + k - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\ell + k)} \right]^2 = 1,$$

which is readily brought to the form

$$\frac{2}{\pi} = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell+1} \frac{(2j-1)(2j+1)}{(2j)(2j)}, \quad (8)$$

the reciprocal form of the Wallis formula.

<sup>1</sup> J. Wallis, *Arithmetica Infinitorum* (Oxford, 1655).

<sup>2</sup> L. Berggren, J. Borwein, and P. Borwein, *Pi: A source book* (Springer-Verlag, 1997).

<sup>3</sup> S. J. Miller, "A probabilistic proof of Wallis's formula for  $\pi$ ," *Am. Math. Monthly* **115**, 740-745 (2008); e-print [arXiv:0709.2181](https://arxiv.org/abs/0709.2181).

<sup>4</sup> M. Kovalyov, "Elementary combinatorial-probabilistic proof of the Wallis and Stirling formulas," *J. Math. Stat.* **5**, 408-410 (2009).

<sup>5</sup> J. Wästlund, "An elementary proof of Wallis's product formula for pi," *Linköping stud. Math.* **2**, 1-5 (2005).

<sup>6</sup> J. Sondow and E. W. Weisstein, "Wallis' formula," From *MathWorld* – A Wolfram web resource, <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/WallisFormula.html>.

<sup>7</sup> E. W. Weisstein, "Pi formulas," From *MathWorld* – A Wolfram web resource, <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/PiFormulas.html>.

<sup>8</sup> J. Stewart, *Calculus: Early Transcendentals*, 7th ed. (Cengage Learning, 2012).

<sup>9</sup> For an example of such a connection, see, P. T. Landsberg, "A thermodynamic proof of the inequality between arithmetic and geometric mean," *Phys. Lett. A* **67**, 1 (1978).

<sup>10</sup> For example, the ratio is 0.849, 0.906, 0.932, 0.978, 0.998 for  $\ell = 0, 1, 2, 10, 100$ .

<sup>11</sup> M. M. Nieto, "Hydrogen atom and relativistic pi-mesic atom in  $N$ -space dimensions," *Am. J. Phys.* **47**, 1067 (1979).

<sup>12</sup> J. D. Louck, *Theory of Angular Momentum in N-Dimensional Space*, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory monograph LA-2451 (LASL, Los Alamos, 1960); J. D. Louck, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **4**, 298 (1960); J. D. Louck and H. W. Galbraith, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **44**, 540 (1972).

<sup>13</sup> T. Friedmann and C. R. Hagen, "Group-theoretical derivation of angular momentum eigenvalues in spaces of arbitrary dimensions," *J. Math. Phys.* **53**, 122102 (2012); e-print [arXiv:1211.1934](https://arxiv.org/abs/1211.1934).